




OFFICE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT
THIRD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF FLORIDA

Columbia, Dixie, Hamilton, Lafayette, Madison, Suwannee, and Taylor Counties

MARK E. FEAGLE
Chief Judge

LESLI L. PROVEAUX
Judicial Assistant
lesli@jud3.flcourts.org

MEMORANDUM

TO: The Third Judicial Circuit
FROM: Mark E. Feagle, Chief Judge 
DATE: June 14, 2021
RE: Supreme Court of Florida – AOSC21-17

As you all know, on June 4, 2021, Chief Justice Canady entered the above referenced Administrative Order (AO) permitting the courts to resume in-person court proceedings and terminating three previously issued AOs, *AOSC20-23, Amendment 13*; *AOSC20-32, Amendment 8*; and *AOSC20-109, Amendment 2*.

Chief Justice Canady included additional health and safety protocols that may be implemented as early as June 21, 2021 in order to begin operating in a more traditional court setting as we were accustomed to prior to the COVID-19 health crisis.

In the Third Judicial Circuit, as of June 21, 2021, we will be in compliance with the additional health and safety protocols and we will officially terminate our Third Judicial Circuit Operational plan that was previously entered on February 18, 2021. Therefore, on **June 21, 2021**, we will begin to operate and conduct our in-person court business without the requirement to wear face masks and without social distancing.

I encourage you to read and familiarize yourselves with the latest Supreme Court Administrative Order, a copy of which is hereby attached for your easy reference.

I realize most would like uniformity throughout the circuit, and to the extent possible, we will do that. However, please understand that complete uniformity will be impossible at this point in time.

Many of us will continue to conduct hearings using the virtual technology we have grown accustomed to because of the health care crisis that restricted our in-person court

proceedings. While some were skeptical of and had great concern for court hearings being conducted through virtual platforms, this past year has opened our eyes and has proven that technology has revolutionized how the court system can operate. The judges have met and discussed how best to move forward for the benefit of the bar, the public, and for justice, and you will find that many will continue to utilize the virtual platforms for various dockets, at least in part.

Please take affirmative steps to confirm how the presiding judge will be handling your particular case or docket.

As I have stated before, I am grateful to be part of the Third Judicial Circuit and able to work within the seven counties that make up our circuit. Throughout the health crisis we have all adapted, we have maintained our professionalism, and we have served the interests of justice to the best of our abilities. It has truly been a team effort from all the participants, and I thank you!

Supreme Court of Florida

No. AOSC21-17

IN RE: COVID-19 HEALTH AND SAFETY PROTOCOLS AND
EMERGENCY OPERATIONAL MEASURES FOR FLORIDA
APPELLATE AND TRIAL COURTS

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER

As a result of the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, the State Surgeon General and State Health Officer renewed the declaration that a public health emergency exists in Florida on April 20, 2021, the Governor extended the declaration of a state of emergency in Florida on April 27, 2021, and the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services renewed the determination that a public health emergency exists in the United States effective as of April 21, 2021. To mitigate the effects of the public health emergency upon the judicial branch and its participants, I have issued administrative orders implementing temporary measures essential to the administration of justice to

address the pandemic and to keep the courts operating to the fullest extent consistent with public safety.¹

At this time, effective vaccines for COVID-19 are adequately available in Florida for persons ages 12 and older; almost half of this state's population has been partially or fully vaccinated; and government-issued health standards and guidance provide that fully vaccinated persons do not need to wear face masks or physically distance in most indoor and outdoor settings unless

1. *In re: COVID-19 Emergency Procedures in the Florida State Courts*, Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-13 (March 13, 2020); *In re: COVID-19 Essential and Critical Trial Court Proceedings*, Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-15 (March 17, 2020); *In re: COVID-19 Emergency Procedures for the Administering of Oaths via Remote Audio-Video Communication Equipment*, Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-16 (March 18, 2020); *In re: COVID-19 Emergency Measures in the Florida State Courts*, Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-17 (March 24, 2020); *In re: COVID-19 Emergency Procedures in Relation to Visitation for Children Under the Protective Supervision of the Department of Children and Families*, Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-18 (March 27, 2020); *In re: COVID-19 Emergency Procedures for Speedy Trial in Noncriminal Traffic Infraction Court Proceedings*, Fla. Admin Order No. AOSC20-19 (March 30, 2020); *In re: Comprehensive COVID-19 Emergency Measures for the Florida State Courts*, Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-23 (April 6, 2020) and as amended thereafter; *In re: COVID-19 Public Health and Safety Precautions for Phase 2*, Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-32 (May 21, 2020) and as amended thereafter; and *In re: Comprehensive COVID-19 Emergency Measures for Florida Appellate Courts*, Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-109 (Nov. 23, 2020) and as amended thereafter.

required by federal, state, or local laws, rules, or regulations.²

Given these developments, the judicial branch can now transition to operations where in-person contact is more broadly authorized.

This order establishes new, temporary health and safety protocols and emergency operational measures and extends and modifies previously enacted temporary emergency operational measures for purposes of mitigating the effects of the public health emergency on the judicial branch and its participants during and after the emergency. The protocols and measures shall take effect at 12:01 a.m. on June 21, 2021, and shall remain in effect until amended or terminated by subsequent order. The following three administrative orders shall terminate at 12:01 a.m. on June 21,

2. *See Guidance for Fully Vaccinated People*, Centers for Disease Prevention and Control (CDC) (May 28, 2021) (stating “**Recommendations for Indoor and Outdoor Settings** Risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection is minimal for fully vaccinated people. The risk of SARS-CoV-2 transmission from fully vaccinated people to unvaccinated people is also reduced. Therefore, fully vaccinated people can resume activities without wearing a mask or physically distancing, except where required by federal, state, local, tribal, or territorial laws, rules, and regulations, including local business and workplace guidance. Fully vaccinated people should also continue to wear a well-fitted mask in correctional facilities and homeless shelters. Prevention measures are still recommended for unvaccinated people.”).

2021: (1) *In re: Comprehensive COVID-19 Emergency Measures for Florida Trial Courts*, Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-23, Amendment 13; (2) *In re: COVID-19 Public Health and Safety Precautions for Operational Phase Transitions*, Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-32, Amendment 8; and (3) *In re: Comprehensive COVID-19 Emergency Measures for Florida Appellate Courts*, Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-109, Amendment 2.

Under the administrative authority conferred upon me by article V, section 2(b) of the Florida Constitution, by Florida Rules of General Practice and Judicial Administration 2.205(a)(2)(B)(iv) and 2.205(a)(2)(B)(v), and by Rule Regulating The Florida Bar 1-12.1(j),

IT IS ORDERED that:

I. HEALTH AND SAFETY PROTOCOLS

A. Implementation.

(1) The health and safety protocols required under Section I.B. may be implemented by a court as early as June 21, 2021, and must be implemented by all courts by August 2, 2021, unless the Chief Justice authorizes an extension of time. If a court requires an extension of time, the court must file a written request for an extension by submitting the request via email to

AOSCreplies@flcourts.org by July 16, 2021. The written request must identify the local conditions warranting the extension, propose a plan for alternative health and safety protocols that complies with authoritative or controlling government-issued health standards or guidance, and specify the period requested for the extension.

(2) A court that does not implement the health and safety protocols required under Section I.B. on June 21, 2021, must:

a. Continue operating pursuant to its Phase 2 operational plan, established under Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-32, Amendment 8, until it implements the health and safety protocols required by Section I.B. or, if approved by the Chief Justice, implements alternative health and safety protocols under Section I.A.(1).

b. Provide notice on its website indicating that the court continues to operate pursuant to its Phase 2 operational plan and indicating the date that the court intends to implement the health and safety protocols required under Section I.B. or alternative health and safety protocols.

B. Protocols. As provided by section 768.38(3)(c)2., Florida Statutes, courts must make “a good faith effort to substantially

comply with authoritative or controlling government-issued health standards or guidance” during their operations.³ To this end, unless required by federal, state, or local laws, rules, or regulations, the wearing of face masks and physical distancing are not required during in-person court proceedings;⁴ however, during in-person court proceedings:

(1) Participants and observers may wear face masks.⁵ Upon request, a face mask must be provided to a participant or observer for an in-person court proceeding. Further, the Chief Justice or a

3. Section 768.38(3)(c)2.b., Florida Statutes, further states “If more than one source or set of standards or guidance was authoritative or controlling at the time the cause of action accrued, the defendant’s good faith effort to substantially comply with any one of those sources or sets of standards or guidance confers such immunity from civil liability.” See Chapter 21-1, sections 1 and 5, Laws of Fla. (providing that section 768.38, Florida Statutes, took effect on March 29, 2021).

4. As used in this order, the term “in-person court proceeding” means the assembly of prospective jurors, voir dire, juror deliberations, and any status conference, hearing, trial, or other proceeding conducted by a justice, judge, magistrate, or hearing officer if conducted in person and other court events conducted in person as may be determined by the Chief Justice or chief judge.

5. As used in this order, the term “face mask” refers to face masks that completely cover the nose and mouth and that fit snugly around the nose, chin, and sides of the face as described by the CDC. See Guidance for Wearing Masks, CDC (April 19, 2021).

chief judge may adopt a policy addressing the use of face masks with clear plastic panels during in-person court proceedings. If such a policy is adopted, it must apply consistently across all in-person court proceedings in the same courthouse.

(2) Participants may request to be physically distanced.⁶ The court will address such requests as appropriate under the circumstances at the time of the request.

II. EMERGENCY OPERATIONAL MEASURES

The following provisions govern remote and in-person conduct of appellate and trial court proceedings, as applicable, beginning on June 21, 2021. All in-person court proceedings must be conducted in a manner consistent with Section I.

6. If a request for physical distancing during an in-person court proceeding is granted, the measurement used for physical distancing must comply with authoritative or controlling government-issued health standards or guidance. See Section 768.38(3)(c)2., Florida Statutes.

A. Use of Technology.

(1) The presiding judge in all cases must consider the constitutional rights of crime victims and criminal defendants and the public's constitutional right of access to the courts.⁷

(2) To maximize the availability of facility space for trial court proceedings that must be conducted in person, each chief judge of a judicial circuit should take all necessary steps to support the remote conduct of other trial court proceedings with the use of technology, in accordance with this administrative order and other applicable standards and guidance as may be adopted by the Chief Justice or supreme court.⁸

(3) Participants who have the capability of participating by electronic means in remote appellate or trial court proceedings must do so.⁹ For purposes of this administrative order, "remote

7. Similar measures initially went into effect in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-17 on March 24, 2020, and were subsequently also addressed in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-23 and Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-109.

8. Id.

9. This measure initially went into effect in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-23, Amendment 2, on May 21, 2020, and was subsequently also addressed in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-109.

conduct,” “remotely conduct,” or “conducted remotely” means the conduct, in part or in whole, of a court proceeding using telephonic or other electronic means.¹⁰

(4) All rules of procedure, court orders, and opinions applicable to court proceedings that limit or prohibit the use of communication equipment for the remote conduct of proceedings shall remain suspended.¹¹

(5) The Chief Justice and chief judges remain authorized to establish procedures for the use, to the maximum extent feasible, of communication equipment for the remote conduct of proceedings to facilitate the efficient and expeditious processing of cases.¹²

10. This measure initially went into effect in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC 20-23, Amendment 4, on June 16, 2020, and was subsequently also addressed in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-109.

11. This measure initially went into effect in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC 20-13 at the close of business on March 13, 2020, and was subsequently also addressed in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC 20-17, Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-23, and Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-109.

12. A similar measure initially went into effect in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC 20-13 on Friday, March 13, 2020, and was subsequently also addressed in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC 20-17, Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-23, and Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-109.

B. Administration of Oaths.

(1) Notaries and other persons qualified to administer an oath in the State of Florida may swear a witness remotely by audio-video communication technology from a location within the State of Florida, provided they can positively identify the witness.¹³

(2) If a witness is not located within the State of Florida, a witness may consent to being put on oath via audio-video communication technology by a person qualified to administer an oath in the State of Florida.¹⁴

(3) All rules of procedure, court orders, and opinions applicable to remote testimony, depositions, and other legal testimony, including the attestation of family law forms, that can be read to limit or prohibit the use of audio-video communication technology to administer oaths remotely or to witness the attestation of family law forms shall remain suspended.¹⁵

13. This measure initially went into effect in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC 20-16 on March 18, 2020, and was subsequently also addressed in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC 20-17 and in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-23.

14. Id.

15. Id.

(4) Notaries and other persons qualified to administer an oath in the State of Florida may swear in new attorneys to The Florida Bar remotely by audio-video communication technology from a location within the State of Florida, provided they can positively identify the new attorney.¹⁶

(5) For purposes of the provisions regarding the administering of oaths, the term “positively identify” means that the notary or other qualified person can both see and hear the witness or new attorney via audio-video communication technology for purposes of readily identifying the witness or new attorney.¹⁷

C. Law School Practice Programs.¹⁸

(1) A supervising attorney in a law school practice program, under Rule 11-1.2(b) of the Rules Regulating The Florida Bar, may utilize audio-video communication technology to remotely supervise the law student in satisfaction of the requirement that the

16. This measure initially went into effect in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC 20-23 on April 6, 2020, and was subsequently also addressed in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-109.

17. Id.

18. These measures initially went into effect in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC 20-23, Amendment 5, on July 2, 2020, and were subsequently also addressed in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-109.

supervising attorney be physically present. The supervising attorney and law student must maintain a separate, confidential communication channel during the proceedings.

(2) In a law school practice program, the requirement in Rule 11-1.2(b) of the Rules Regulating The Florida Bar that an indigent person and the supervising attorney must consent in writing to representation by a supervised law student may be satisfied by the judge receiving the consent verbally under oath.

D. Appellate Court Proceedings. Oral argument and other court proceedings and events may be conducted remotely or in person at the discretion of the Chief Justice or chief judge.¹⁹

19. Measures relating to appellate court proceedings initially went into effect in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-13 on March 13, 2020, and were subsequently also addressed in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-17, Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-23, and Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-109.

E. Trial Court Proceedings.²⁰

(1) Juror Disqualifications, Excusals, and Postponements.²¹

Each chief judge of a judicial circuit may authorize the remote conduct of a proceeding in which disqualifications or excusals pursuant to section 40.013, Florida Statutes, or postponements pursuant to section 40.23, Florida Statutes, may be considered for persons who are summoned as a pool for possible juror service as described in section 40.011(5)(b), Florida Statutes.

(2) Jury and Other Proceedings.

a. Non-statewide grand jury selection and proceedings, civil jury selection proceedings and trial proceedings, and criminal jury selection proceedings and trial proceedings must be conducted in person, unless a remote civil or criminal jury selection proceeding or trial proceeding is authorized under Section II.E.(3).

20. Measures relating to trial court proceedings initially went into effect in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-13 on March 13, 2020, and were subsequently also addressed in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-15, Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-16, Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-17, Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-18, Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-19, and Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-23.

21. This measure initially went into effect in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-23, Amendment 8, on November 23, 2020.

b. Hearings to determine whether an individual should be involuntarily committed under the Baker Act or the Marchman Act must be conducted in person unless that individual waives the right to physical presence at the hearing.

(3) Remote Civil and Criminal Jury Selection Proceedings and Trial Proceedings.²²

a. A judicial circuit may remotely conduct:

i. Civil jury selection proceedings or trial proceedings if all parties consent to participating in the remote proceeding.

ii. Criminal jury selection proceedings or trial proceedings if:

1. The defendant provides consent in writing and orally on the record establishing that the defendant has knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently agreed to the remote conduct of the proceeding;

22. The measure authorizing remote civil jury proceedings initially went into effect in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-23, Amendment 3, on June 8, 2020, and the measure authorizing remote criminal jury proceedings initially went into effect in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-23, Amendment 9, on February 17, 2021.

2. Counsel for the defendant, if the defendant is represented, indicates orally on the record that they have discussed the potential advantages and disadvantages of remote conduct of the proceeding with the defendant and have concluded that the defendant has knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently agreed to the remote conduct of the proceeding; and
3. The prosecutor indicates the State's and, if applicable, the victim's positions orally on the record regarding remote conduct of the proceeding for purposes of consideration by the presiding judge in determining whether to remotely conduct the proceeding.

b. A court proceeding to obtain the statements required to be provided orally on the record pursuant to Section II.E.(3)a.ii. may be remotely conducted.

c. The cases selected for a remote jury proceeding must be based upon the case being conducive to a remote proceeding and conducted pursuant to the requirements specified in the report

titled *Requirements and Evaluation Criteria – Remote Civil and Criminal Jury Trials* and other applicable standards and guidance as may be adopted by the Chief Justice or supreme court.

d. Within 30 days after the remote conduct of a jury selection proceeding or trial proceeding for the first time in a judicial circuit, the circuit must present the results of the proceeding and report its findings and recommendations to the Chief Justice through the state courts administrator.

(4) Other Trial Court Proceedings.²³ Trial court proceedings that are not addressed under Section II.E.(1), (2), or (3) may be conducted as follows:

a. Non-jury trials in:

i. Criminal cases may be conducted remotely if the requirements for certain statements specified in Section II.E.(3)a.ii. are satisfied or, if not, must be conducted in person.

ii. Termination of parental rights and juvenile delinquency cases may be conducted remotely if ordered by the chief

23. Similar measures initially went into effect in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-23, Amendment 1, on May 4, 2020.

judge or the presiding judge or, if not, must be conducted in person.

b. All other trial court proceedings may be conducted remotely or in person, except that a proceeding must be conducted in person if the chief judge or presiding judge determines that remote conduct of the proceeding is inconsistent with the United States or Florida Constitution, a statute, or a rule of court, a court order, or an opinion that has not been suspended by administrative order. Trial court proceedings shall continue to be remotely conducted, as appropriate, to facilitate the efficient and expeditious processing of cases.

(5) In-Person Trial Court Proceedings.²⁴ It is the responsibility of the chief judge to ensure that trial court proceedings that must be in person pursuant Section II.E.(2) or (4) are conducted to the fullest extent feasible consistent with Section I. Chief judges shall have the discretion to determine how best to utilize available trial court resources and facility space to conduct in-person proceedings,

24. Similar measures initially went into effect in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-23, Amendment 8, on November 23, 2020.

but before making such determination should consider the following priorities listed from highest to lowest:

- a. Essential proceedings as previously identified in Section III.D.(1) of Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-23, Amendment 13.
- b. Circuit and county criminal trials with an in-custody defendant.
- c. Circuit trials for juveniles being tried as an adult.
- d. Juvenile delinquency trials.
- e. Circuit and county criminal trials with an out-of-custody defendant.
- f. Termination of parental rights trials.
- g. Circuit civil jury trials.
- h. County civil jury trials.
- i. All other trial court proceedings.

(6) Case Resolution.²⁵ To maximize the resolution of all cases, chief judges:

a. Must direct all judges within their circuits to strictly comply with Florida Rule of General Practice and Judicial Administration 2.545(a), (b), and (e), which respectively require judges to conclude litigation as soon as it is reasonably and justly possible to do so, to take charge of all cases at an early stage and to control the progress of the case thereafter until it is determined, and to apply a firm continuance policy allowing continuances only for good cause shown.

b. Are encouraged, where consistent with public health and safety, to:

a. Use non-traditional facilities or underutilized courthouse space to increase the court's capacity for in-person proceedings.

b. Reassign judges and court staff to proceedings having the highest priority.

c. Implement scheduling practices that promote the conduct of as many jury trials as feasible. Consistent with Section II.E.(5),

25. Id.

the scheduling of trials in criminal cases should be prioritized to facilitate the prompt resolution of these cases.

d. Communicate to the local Bar that lawyers must strictly comply with Florida Rule of General Practice and Judicial Administration 2.545(a), which requires lawyers to conclude litigation as soon as it is reasonably and justly possible to do so, and that the pandemic alone is not a basis for a lawyer's failure to prepare a case for trial or otherwise actively manage a case.

(7) Civil Case Management.²⁶ To maximize the resolution of civil cases, chief judges were required to have issued an administrative order applicable to each county within the judicial circuit, except as provided in Section II.E.(7)b., that took effect on April 30, 2021, to require the presiding judge for each civil case²⁷ to actively manage civil cases in the manner specified below.

26. These measures initially went into effect in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-23, Amendment 10, on March 9, 2021.

27. As used in Section II.E.(7), the term "civil case" means actions to which the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure apply, as identified in Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.010, and actions in which the court has ordered that the action proceed under one or more of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure pursuant to Florida Small Claims Rule 7.020(c) if the deadline for the trial date specified in Florida Small Claims Rule 7.090(d) no longer applies in the

- a. The administrative order must have:
 - i. Required review of each civil case to determine whether it is complex, streamlined, or general.
 1. Complex civil cases are actions that have been or may be designated by court order as complex under Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.201. Upon such designation, the action must proceed as provided in the rule.

action, but does not include actions subject to section 51.011, Florida Statutes, post-judgment proceedings, and writs to which Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.630 applies. See Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.010 (stating that the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure “apply to all actions of a civil nature and all special statutory proceedings in the circuit courts and county courts except those to which the Florida Probate Rules, the Florida Family Law Rules of Procedure, or the Small Claims Rules apply.”); Florida Small Claims Rule 7.020(c) (stating that “In any particular action, the court may order that action to proceed under 1 or more additional Florida Rules of Civil Procedure on application of any party or the stipulation of all parties or on the court's own motion.”); Florida Small Claims Rule 7.090(d) (providing that the trial in a small claims action must be set not more than 60 days from the date of the pretrial conference except as otherwise specified); Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.630 (addressing actions for the issuance of writs of mandamus, prohibition, quo warranto, and habeas corpus); and section 51.011, Florida Statutes (providing a summary procedure for the resolution of certain actions when specified by statute or rule).

2. Streamlined civil cases must be identified based on criteria determined by the chief judge and specified in the administrative order. Criteria that the chief judge may wish to consider for the identification of streamlined cases include whether the case involves: few parties; non-complex issues related to liability and damages; few anticipated pretrial motions; limited need for discovery; few witnesses; minimal documentary evidence; and an anticipated trial length of less than two days.

3. General civil cases are all other civil cases.

ii. Required the presiding judge to issue a case management order for each streamlined and general civil case that at a minimum specifies the deadlines for service of complaints, service under extensions, and adding new parties and the deadlines by which: fact and expert discovery must be completed; all objections to pleadings and pretrial motions must be resolved; and mediation must have occurred. The case management order must also specify the projected date of trial; indicate that the

deadlines established in the order will be strictly enforced by the court; indicate that a firm trial date will be ordered by the presiding judge when the case is at issue pursuant to Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.440; and address any other matters required by the chief judge. If the streamlined or general civil case is:

1. Subject to dismissal for a lack of prosecution pursuant to Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.420(e), a case management order is required only if the court determines that the action should remain pending and must be issued within 30 days after such determination.
2. Subject to a statutory stay or a moratorium preventing the prosecution of the case, the case management order must be issued in a case filed:
 - On or after April 30, 2021, within 45 days after the stay or the moratorium ends or within 30 days after service of the complaint on the last of all named defendants, whichever date is later; or

- Before April 30, 2021, by December 3, 2021, within 45 days after the stay or the moratorium ends, or within 30 days after service of the complaint on the last of all named defendants, whichever date is later. The case management order must address each deadline identified under Section II.E.(7)a.ii. and the projected date for trial if such event has not yet occurred in the case or has not yet been specified by other court order.

3. Not subject to a statutory stay or a moratorium, the case management order must be issued in a case filed:

- On or after April 30, 2021, within 30 days after service of the complaint on the last of all named defendants; or
- Before April 30, 2021, the case management order must be issued by December 3, 2021. The case management order must address each deadline identified under Section II.E.(7)a.ii. and the projected date for trial if such event has not

yet occurred in the case or has not yet been specified by other court order.

iii. Established maximum periods within which the deadlines required by Section II.E.(7)a.ii. and the projected date of trial must be set in the case management order. The maximum periods must be differentiated based on whether the civil case is streamlined or general and must be consistent with the time standards specified in Florida Rule of General Practice and Judicial Administration 2.250(a)(1)(B) for the completion of civil cases.

b. If a judicial circuit or county within the judicial circuit was implementing a written civil case management protocol as of March 9, 2021, that required the entry of a case management order for each civil case that addressed each deadline identified under Section II.E.(7)a.ii. and the projected date for trial, the chief judge is authorized to continue to use the protocol in the judicial circuit or county instead of issuing the administrative order required by this section.

c. Each administrative order issued by the chief judge pursuant to this section and written civil case management protocol described in Section II.E.(7)b. was required to be submitted to the chair of the Workgroup on the Improved Resolution of Civil Cases, as established by *In Re: Workgroup on Improved Resolution of Civil Cases*, Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC19-73 (Oct. 31, 2019), by May 7, 2021. If subsequently amended, the administrative order or protocol must be submitted to the chair of the workgroup within seven days after the amendment is issued.

(8) Speedy Trial in Criminal Court Proceedings.²⁸

a. All time periods involving the speedy trial procedure in criminal court proceedings remain suspended until the close of business on:

- i. October 4, 2021, for persons who were taken into custody²⁹ before March 14, 2020. When the suspension

28. This measure initially went into effect in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-13 at the close of business on March 13, 2020, and was subsequently also addressed in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-17 and in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-23.

29. As used in Section II.E.(8)a., the term “taken into custody” has the same meaning as provided in Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.191(d).

ends and the time periods resume, any time that accrued under the procedure for a person before the suspension began at the close of business on March 13, 2020, must be subtracted from the time periods provided by the procedure. *See, e.g., Sullivan v. State*, 913 So. 2d 762 (Fla. 5th DCA 2005), and *State v. Hernandez*, 617 So. 2d 1103 (Fla. 3rd DCA 1993).

ii. January 3, 2022, for persons who were taken into custody on or after March 14, 2020.

b. When the time periods involving the speedy trial procedure resume under Section II.E.(8)a.:

- i. The 10-day time period in Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.191(p)(3) is increased to 30 days; and
- ii. Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.191(l) is modified to authorize a court to order an extension of the time periods provided under the rule for the following exceptional circumstances: general congestion of the court's docket, a lack of courtroom space, an unavailability of jurors, or a personnel shortage for

public defenders, state attorneys, clerks of court, or the courts.

(9) Speedy Trial in Juvenile Court Proceedings.³⁰ All time periods involving the speedy trial procedure in juvenile court proceedings remain suspended until the close of business on October 4, 2021. When the suspension ends and the time periods resume, any time that accrued under the procedure for a juvenile before the suspension began at the close of business on March 13, 2020, must be subtracted from the time periods provided by the procedure. *See, e.g., Sullivan v. State*, 913 So. 2d 762 (Fla. 5th DCA 2005), and *State v. Hernandez*, 617 So. 2d 1103 (Fla. 3rd DCA 1993).

(10) Incompetence to Proceed.³¹ Where exigencies make it impossible to meet the 20-day time period in Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.210(b), chief judges of the circuit courts

30. This measure initially went into effect in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-13 at the close of business on March 13, 2020, and was subsequently also addressed in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC 20-17 and in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-23.

31. This measure initially went into effect in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-17 on March 24, 2020, and was subsequently also addressed in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-23.

remain authorized to direct judges to hold competency hearings as soon as feasible after the date of filing a motion to determine competency. Chief judges also remain authorized to allow experts and attorneys to conduct and attend competency evaluations by remote means, if feasible.

(11) Defendants Arrested on Warrant or Capias from Another Florida Jurisdiction.³² To mitigate the health risks associated with the incarceration and transportation of defendants during the pandemic, when a defendant is arrested on a warrant or capias from another Florida jurisdiction, chief judges of the circuit courts remain encouraged to facilitate communication between the circuit or county where the case originated (“home court”) and the circuit or county where the defendant is incarcerated (“holding court”), for the handling of matters on a temporary basis, as follows:

a. Pretrial Release and First Appearance Hearings. Chief judges remain authorized to direct judges conducting pretrial release and first appearance hearings to address detention and

32. These measures initially took effect in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-17 on March 24, 2020, and were subsequently also addressed in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-23.

monetary bond or other conditions of pretrial release in the county of arrest, regardless of whether the case is transferred, rather than requiring transport of the defendant to the county where any warrant or capias originated.

For capiases and violation of probation warrants, before setting monetary bond or other conditions of pretrial release, the first appearance judge, in order to make a proper decision regarding monetary bond or other conditions of pretrial release, must rely on relevant information from the following individuals in the county that issued the capias or warrant: the issuing judge, defense counsel if any, and the state attorney.

Action taken by the holding court at first appearance and any pretrial release hearing should be promptly reported to the home court and reflected in the record of the case.

Any provision of Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.131 inconsistent with these measures remains suspended.

b. Pleas. Judges remain encouraged to coordinate with prosecutors, attorneys, defendants, and victims in order to utilize section 910.035, Florida Statutes, which allows for pleas of guilty or nolo contendere for persons arrested in counties outside of the

county of prosecution, upon the consent of the defendant and the state attorney in the county where the crime was committed.

c. Rights of Parties. In cases that are not handled by a plea or pretrial release such that the defendant will continue to be detained in the jurisdiction of the holding court for an indefinite period of time, chief judges are directed to ensure that the due process rights of the defendant are protected by facilitating the temporary transfer of the case to the holding court, if necessary; by having a judge from the holding court designated by the Chief Justice, or designated by the chief judge if the home and holding court are within the same circuit, as a judge of the home court to handle emergency or other necessary matters in the case; or by other appropriate means.

d. Victims. The constitutional rights of crime victims must also be considered in all cases by the presiding judge.

(12) Speedy Trial in Noncriminal Traffic Infraction Court Proceedings.³³ The time period involving the speedy trial procedure in noncriminal traffic infraction court proceedings remains suspended until the close of business on October 4, 2021. When the suspension ends and the time period resumes, any time that accrued under the procedure for a person before the suspension began at the close of business on March 13, 2020, must be subtracted from the time period provided by the procedure.

(13) Family Law Forms.³⁴ Except as indicated below, the requirement that Florida Family Law Forms be notarized or signed in the presence of a deputy clerk remains suspended, if the filer includes the following statement before the filer's signature:

Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have read this document and the facts stated in it are true.

33. This measure initially went into effect in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-19 at the close of business on March 13, 2020, and was subsequently also addressed in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-23.

34. This measure initially took effect in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC 20-17 on March 24, 2020, and was subsequently also addressed in Fla. Admin. Order No. AOSC20-23.

This exception does not apply to Florida Family Law Forms 12.902(f)(1), Marital Settlement Agreement for Dissolution of Marriage with Dependent or Minor Child(ren), 12.902(f)(2), Marital Settlement Agreement for Dissolution of Marriage with Property but No Dependent or Minor Child(ren), 12.902(f)(3), Marital Settlement Agreement for Simplified Dissolution of Marriage, and any other family law form that transfers the ownership of property, which must continue to be notarized or signed in the presence of a deputy clerk prior to filing.

(14) Objections to In-Person Visitation for Children under the Protective Supervision of the Florida Department of Children and Families (DCF). A caregiver for a child subject to the protective supervision of the DCF may object to the in-person nature of a visitation on grounds that risks due to COVID-19 will negatively affect the health or safety of a person participating in the visitation or of a member of that person's household. The court must consider such objection and responses thereto before entering an order on visitation. This section applies to parent-child visitation, sibling visitation, and visitation between children and other family members and non-relatives.

* * *

Additional orders extending or modifying these measures will be issued as warranted to mitigate the effects of the public health emergency on the judicial branch and its participants.

DONE AND ORDERED at Tallahassee, Florida, on June 4, 2021.

Chief Justice Charles T. Canady

ATTEST:

John A. Tomasino, Clerk of Court